Lithgow City Council participated in the Local Government Professionals Australian NSW, Local Government Effectiveness Program from its inception in 2013 to 2021. The comparative data indicated in this survey is based on the Councils participating the survey annually. The Program was prepared and delivered by Price Waterhouse Coopers (PwC).

Over the 2012/13 - 2020/21 years, the program evolved with changes in the data collected. As the program is an "opt in" program, the number of Council's also fluctuated during this time period.

#### Objective

The objective of the program is to empower councils with comparative data analytics to better communicate, control and manage their internal business performance with their stakeholders. As part of the program, PwC collects data from participating councils and then transforms this data into key metrics, identifying trends and observations that focus on operational and management excellence. Councils are provided with the opportunity to enhance their ability to monitor and manage their internal business performance over time, and better prioritise change based on data driven decision making. The data provided in this document is drawn from the annual reports generated.

The current comparative insights have been provided to councils through the use of PwC's extensive experience with local government and in developing, delivering and analysing a variety of business process data collections across multiple industries.

#### Methodology

Lithgow City Council was classed as a regional/Medium sized council (>10,000 residents) for the purpose of this survey.

The results reflect the data collected for the financial years 2012/13 - 2020/21 and is based on data collected from all participating councils each year. • A customised insights report was provided to each participating council that compares its business performance to that of the survey population across a range of areas. Respondents to the survey included Council's across NSW, Northern Territory, South Australia, Western Australia and New Zealand. • The reports are presented in a nonidentifiable way; councils only see their results in relation to the survey population.

• These insight reports represent a starting point for further discussions, rather than a conclusive assessment in any particular area.

Data collection, although standardised, has varied slightly across the survey period as Local Government Professionals sought to undertake "deep dives" into service delivery and services were introduced or removed from the data collection.

### Table legend

The tables in the worksheets provided have been colour coded as follows:

Lithgow City Council data

NSW Councils median data

Regional Councils median data

Metro Councils median data

Rural Councils median data

Number of	NSW Coun							
FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
72		79			69	55	50	48

A List of NSW Council's participating in FY 2019 is provided below as an indication of the Metro, Regional & Rural Council's participating in the program.

1. Albury City Council	29. Leeton Shire Council
2. Ballina Shire Council	30. Lithgow City Council
3. Bega Valley Shire Council	31. Liverpool City Council
4. Bellingen Shire Council	32. Maitland City Council
5. Bland Shire Council	33. MidCoast Council
6. Blayney Shire Council	34. Muswellbrook Shire Council
7. Broken Hill City Council	35. Narrabri Shire Council
8. Burwood Council	36. Narrandera Shire Council
9. Byron Shire Council	37. Narromine Shire Council
10. Cabonne Shire Council	38. Northern Beaches Council
11. Campbelltown City Council	39. Oberon Council
12. Cessnock City Council	40. Parkes Shire Council
13. City of Newcastle	41. Port Macquarie-Hastings Council
14. Clarence Valley Council	42. Port Stephens Council
15. Coffs Harbour City Council	43. Queanbeyan-Palerang Regional Council
16. Eurobodalla Shire Council	44. Richmond Valley Council
17. Forbes Shire Council	45. Shellharbour City Council
18. Georges River Council	46. Shoalhaven City Council
19. Greater Hume Shire Council	47. Singleton Council
20. Griffith City Council	48. Snowy Monaro Regional Council
21. Gwydir Shire Council	49. Snowy Valleys Council
22. Hilltops Council	50. Tamworth Regional Council
23. Hornsby Shire Council	51. Temora Shire Council
24. Kempsey Shire Council	52. Tweed Shire Council
25. Kiama Municipal Council	53. Willoughby City Council
26. Lachlan Shire Council	54. Wingecarribee Shire Council
27. Lake Macquarie City Council	55. Wollongong City Council
28. Lane Cove Council	

Ratio of FTE per 1,000 residents	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
NSW survey population	**	8.5	8.2	9.3	8.9	8.2	9	9.5	9.5
Lithgow City Council	**	8.5	8.2	8.3	8.5	8.9	8.4	8.9	9.4
Lithgow LGA- Employee costs per 1,000									
residents	**	**	**	**	\$749	\$768k	\$796K	\$851k	\$866k

Overtime spend per FTE	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
NSW survey population	**	\$2,425	\$2,464	\$1,254	\$2,388	\$2,899	\$2,820	\$2,856	\$2,723
Lithgow City Council	**	\$3,122	\$2,917	\$2,344	\$2,225	\$2,106	\$2,794	\$3,581	\$2,466

Paid overtime as % of total salaries &									
wages	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
NSW Median	4.00%	4.10%	3.90%	3.60%	3.80%	4.00%	3.60%	3.80%	3.50%
Lithgow City Council	4.60%	6%	3.80%	2.10%	3.00%	3.20%	3.90%	4.60%	3.50%

Agency staff spend as a % of total expenditure on employees and agency staff	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
NSW Median	**	**	**	1.20%	1.60%	1.80%	1.80%	1.50%	1.70%
Regional Council	3.60%	2.60%	1.40%	0.00%	1.10%	1.80%	1.80%	0.70%	2.00%
Lithgow City Council	0.50%	0.30%	0.50%	3.60%	0.70%	0.00%	1.00%	0.50%	0.10%

Total capital expenditure (A\$) per resident									
(type of council)	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Lithgow City Council	\$533	\$720	**	\$490	\$610	\$780	\$710	\$660	\$650
Metro Median	\$220	\$220	\$250	\$365	\$310	\$315	\$380	\$340	\$285
Regional Median	\$524	\$500	\$500	\$540	\$575	\$730	\$710	\$820	\$680
Rural Median	\$933	\$885	\$770	\$1,040	\$975	\$1,175	\$1,090	\$1,490	\$1,650

Employee costs as a % of total operating expenses (type of Council)	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Lithgow City Council	**	**	**	**	**	38%	36%	37%	37%
Metro Council	**	**	**	**	**	39%	39%	39%	39%
Regional Council	**	**	**	**	**	34%	35%	35%	34%
Rural Council	**	**	**	**	**	33%	35%	34%	35%

In situations where overtime is not a suitable or viable option, employing agency staff may help councils in alleviating shortterm or seasonal capacity constraints, or filling a skills gap while staff are being trained up.

We note that neither small councils or councils based in rural areas recorded any agency staff costs. This suggests a limited availability of the agency staff option in those geographic locations, and a reliance on overtime is much more prominent in these types of councils. Small councils have the highest median of paid overtime as a percentage of total salary

Note \*\* This data was not available for these years.

\*\* NOTE

Not recorded in the FY2015 Report.

Your council's top five services (ranked by total service cost) as well as an additional category called Governance and Administration can be below. The remaining services are consolidated into Other Services.

To enable easier comparison, the survey population results were been remodelled to represent the same size as Lithgow City council. Providing the ability to view the average equivalent resources (by cost and FTE) for each service area, and compare results against a survey population with the same number of residents as the council.

The survey population, by service area, is represented by other participating councils that also provide the same service. For example, a council may have 50,000 residents and spend \$10m on solid waste management, equating to a cost of \$200 per resident. The remodelled survey population result, using the total cost from the councils that also provide solid waste management, may result in an equivalent cost per resident of \$220, which equates to \$11m for all 50,000 residents.

This means the council in focus is spending less than the relative survey population to deliver this service to its 50,000 residents. This may be due to how the service is delivered or the nature of the service provided. Or a lower number of employed FTE or different mix of staff. Procurement agreements may have been negotiated recently for a better price and volume of materials.

For easy comparisons, PwC remodelled the survey population result to represent the same size as Lithgow City council. This means you can observe and compare the average equivalent resources (cost and FTE) of the survey population for each service area, as if this survey population had the same number of residents as the council.

- NSW Council's Top 5 services ranked by operating expenses plus Governance & Administration
- Insourced expenses are defined as "Insourced Total Remuneration" + "Depreciation" + "Insourced Other Expenses".
- Outsourced expenses are defined as "Outsourced Contract Value"

## Delivering Council Services - Costs and Resourcing

## Council insourcing and outsourcing expense as a percentage of total operating expenses by service area

Lithgow LGA Population	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
	21,474	21,596	21,636	21,605	21,516
	Roads and Bri	daos			
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
LCC	\$8.9M	\$10.0M	\$12.4M	\$9.8M	\$9.2M
Other Councils	\$5.6M	\$5.7M	\$5.1M	\$5.2M	\$5.2M
	Roads and Bri	dges			
FTE	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
LCC	43.9	43.9	44.9	47.1	45.9
Other Councils	12.3	11.5	15.1	16.3	16

Water supplies								
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021			
LCC	\$4.4M	\$6.6M	\$6.7M	\$6.0M	\$6.3M			
Other Councils	\$5.4M	\$4.3M	\$4.7M	\$4.8M	\$4.3M			

	water supp	lica			
FTE	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
LCC	15.9	14.9	17.9	15	14
Other Councils	9.9	9.4	10	11.5	12.2

1 4 4	1-1-	- C -		1:
VV	'ate	r Su	Inn	lies
	acc		ימי	

Water Supplies							
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021		
LCC Insourcing	98%	98%	96%	98%	93%		
Other Councils Insourcing	86%	86%	89%	89%	84%		
LCC Outsourcing	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%		
Other Councils Outsourcing	14%	14%	11%	11%	16%		

Other transport infrastructure						
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	
LCC	\$2.1M	\$3.5M	\$5.2m	\$5.6m	\$5.4M	
Other Councils	\$3.3M	\$1.3M	\$1.5M	\$1.1m	\$918.5k	

Other transport infrastructure							
FTE	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021		
LCC	6	5	7	7	8		
Other Councils	3	4	5.2	4	3.9		

	Other transp	ort iı	nfrastructur	e
Service cost	FY2017	7	FY2018	FY201
LCC Insourcing	9	96%	99%	
Other Councils Insourcing	6	66%	74%	
LCC Outcoursing		10/	10/	

ther Councils Outsourcing

Other Transport Infrastructure

Sewerage Services						
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	
LCC	\$4.9M	\$5.8M	\$5.7M	\$5.6m	\$3.6M	
Other Councils	\$3.9M	\$3.8M	\$4.0M	\$4.5m	\$4.3M	

Sewerage Services						
FTE	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021	
LCC	7	9	10	7	8	
Other Councils	7	6.5	6.9	7.6	8.3	

~	~	
Lowora	TO LON	UCOC
Sewera	e seiv	/ILES

Sewerage Services							
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021		
LCC Insourcing	96%	96%	98%	94%	39%		
Other Councils Insourcing	89%	86%	90%	93%	93%		
LCC Outsourcing	4%	4%	2%	6%	61%		
Other Councils Outsourcing	11%	14%	10%	7%	7%		

349

83%

88%

91%

# Solid Waste Management

Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
LCC	**	**	**	\$3.2m	\$5.6M
Other Councils	**	**	**	\$3.7m	\$3.6M

Waste Man	agement			
FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
**	**	**	2.7	8.4
**	**	**	4.8	4.7
	FY2017 **	FY2017 FY2018 ** **	FY2017 FY2018 FY2019 ** ** **	** ** ** 2.7

Note: \*\* Due to changes in data collection this data not included in the Local Government Effectiveness Survey report for the years indicated.

Solid Waste Management							
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021		
LCC Insourcing	**	**	**	26%	919		
Other Councils Insourcing	**	**	**	49%	479		
LCC Outsourcing	**	**	**	74%	99		
Other Councils Outsourcing	**	**	**	51%	539		

**98%** 85%

2%

15%

vice cost

LCC Outsourcing

LCC Insourcing Other Councils Insourcing

Other Councils Outsourcing

Governance & Administration FY2017 FY2018 FY2019 FY2020

**99%** 87%

1%

13%

**99%** 86%

1%

14%

FY2021

<mark>98%</mark> 88%

**2%** 12%

**97%** 89%

<mark>3%</mark> 11%

# Governance & Administration

Governance & Administration							
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021		
LCC	\$19.8M	\$17.0M	\$13.7M	\$5.6m	\$7.8M		
Other Councils	\$6.3M	\$6.7M	7.3M	\$6.8m	\$6.2M		

Governance & Administration							
FTE per residents	FY2017 FY2018 FY2019 FY2020 FY202						
LCC	43.4	47.7	45.9	51.1	52.1		
Other Councils	27.9	27.2	28.2	31.2	31.4		

## **Other Services**

Other Services							
Service cost	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021		
LCC	\$7.4M	\$8.6M	\$12.2M	\$13.0m	\$12.7M		
Other Councils	\$7.9M	\$8.9M	\$10.1M	\$10.3m	\$10.2M		
Other Councils			\$10.1M	\$10.3m	\$10		
	Other Serv	vices					
FTF and an effected	51/2047	EV/2010	EV/2040	51/2020	EV/2024		

**49.7** 40.2

LCC Other Councils 
 46.8
 62.1

 42.4
 46.1

**71.5** 52.8 **73.6** 52.6

Solid Waste Management expense per resident	FY2020	FY2021
NSW survey population	\$197	\$178
Lithgow City Council	\$148	\$260

Break down of solid waste management annual operating expense (A\$) per resident	FY2020	FY2021
LCC Outsourced Contract Expense	\$110	\$24
NSW Outsourced Contract Expense	\$99	\$98
LCC Insourced staff remuneration	\$19	**
NSW Insourced staff remuneration	\$26	**
LCC Other Insourced expense	\$14	\$236
NSW Other Insourced expense	\$70	\$78
LCC Depreciation expense	\$5	**
NSW Depreciation expense	\$12	**

Note: \*\* Due to changes in data collection this data not included in the Local Government Effectiveness Survey report for the years indicated

This section of the report can be used by councils to further analyse and compare key workforce metrics across roads and bridges. We have classified councils as either 'outsourcing' or 'insourcing' to assist with comparisons. If the council's road/bridge outsourced contract value was more than 25% of the total road/ bridge cost then it

Roads and bridges annual operating expense per					
kilometre	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
Lithgow City Council	\$11,8k	\$11k	14k	\$11k	\$10.5k
NSW Median	\$9.9k	\$13K	13.9k	\$15k	\$15.1k
Regional Council median	\$13k	\$14K	14k	14k	17k

Note: \*\* Due to changes in data collection this data not included in the Local Government Effectiveness Survey report for the years indicated

Park and Sporting grounds breakdown by category (hectares)							
Park & Sporting Grounds area and cost breakdown	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021		
LCC hectares per 10,000 residents	482.4	479.7	478.8	479.5	481.5		
Regional councils hectares per 10,000 residents	178.7	208.6	189.6	213.4	201		
LCC Cost per hectare	\$2,720	\$2,720	\$3,534	\$3,186	\$2,831		
Regional councils cost per hectare	\$4,666	\$4,666	\$5,419	\$6,212	\$6,316		
LCC Cost per resident	\$131	\$155	\$169	\$153	\$136		
NSW Median cost per resident	\$108	\$126	\$130	\$130	\$134		
Regional median cost per resident	\$104	\$131	\$127	\$131	\$116		

Park and sporting grounds area and costs breakdown	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
LCC Active Space	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
Regional Active Space	14%	13%	13%	19%	15%
LCC Passive Space	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Regional Passive Space	52%	50%	47%	22%	20%
LCC Conservation (bush and wetlands) and utility open					
space	79%	79%	79%	79%	79%
Regional Conservation (bush and wetlands) and utility					
open space	24%	33%	35%	53%	59%
LCC Coastal and Foreshore	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%
Regional Coastal and Foreshore	10%	4%	5%	6%	6%

Break down of parks, gardens andsporting grounds annual operating expense (A\$) per resident	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021
LCC Outsourced Contract Expense	\$13	\$14	\$17	\$16	\$24
Regional Outsourced Contract Expense	\$9	\$15	\$11	\$14	\$15
LCC Insourced staff remuneration	\$43	\$52	\$52	\$55	**
Regional Insourced staff remuneration	\$28	\$37	\$35	\$47	**
LCC Other Insourced expense	\$54	\$65	\$64	\$43	\$112
Regional Other Insourced expense	\$39	\$38	\$33	\$47	\$116
LCC Depreciation expense	\$21	\$24	\$35	\$39	**
Regional Depreciation expense	\$17	\$25	\$23	\$25	**

Councils can use this section of the report to further analyse and compare key cost metrics across parks, gardens and sporting grounds. We have provided the median expense per resident for your council, compared to the median in your jurisdiction and by type of council. Councils can also better understand the cost breakdown, taking into account outsourcing costs as well as insourced costs.

Note: \*\* Due to changes in data collection this data not included in the Local Government Effectiveness Survey report for FY21.

Annual average revenue and operating cost (A\$) per visit						
JM Robson Aquatic Centre	FY2019	FY2020	FY2021			
LCC Average revenue per visit	\$6	\$12	\$10			
Survey Population Median Average Revenue per visit	\$6	\$7	\$7			
LCC Average operating cost per visit	\$21	\$34	\$27			
Survey Population Media Average Operating Cost per visit	\$11	\$12	\$12			

Note: \*\* Data collection for Swimming Pools & Leisures Centres commenced in FY2019.